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Recognition of an outbreak

April 2008:
Sudden increase in infection rate for ‘clean’ surgical procedures for orthopaedic patients receiving hip or knee prostheses;
There were also cases of acute endophthalmitis after phacoemulsification surgery at this time

Since our hospital reports such infections promptly for surveillance purposes, a sudden increase in infection rates ought to alert Infection Control staff early;

BUT...there was no obvious ‘outbreak’ organism, so initial cases did not raise the alarm as they should have done.
Dates of operation and onset of surgical site infection for twenty orthopaedic and ophthalmic patients
Actions taken

Outbreak committee convened!

Review of ward and theatre practices
Epidemiological analyses
Inspection of orthopaedic theatres
Review of microbiological results

Infection control audit, including hand hygiene
Maintenance services for laminar flow
Terminal cleans for orthopaedic wards
Antibiotic prophylaxis changed
Theatres deep cleaned
Increased vigilance for further cases, with additional microbiological investigations
Retrospective investigation revealed possible missed cases!

Then there was a second surge of cases because we had failed to rectify the underlying cause.
Fifteen orthopaedic patients were involved in the outbreak.
Eleven had knee or hip implant surgery (two were revisions).
The remainder were bilateral osteotomies; internal fixation of an ankle fracture; bunion repair with screws; and a fasciotomy with external medullary fixation.
No common microbiological cause was found from submitted specimens (wound swabs, aspirates, tissue, etc.)

Half of the patients required further surgery, e.g. wash-outs; revision, etc.
One patient died.
One patient required two further attempts to replace his knee prosthesis.
Phacoemulsification, or phaco, is a method of cataract surgery in which the internal lens of the eye is emulsified using ultrasonic energy and replaced with an intraocular lens implant.

Five patients who underwent cataract surgery within the outbreak period were diagnosed with post-operative endophthalmitis.

This is potentially devastating for the patient since it can cause permanent blindness.

All five patients required further surgery.
Initial microbiology results

Orthopaedic specimens
Coagulase negative staphylococci with or without faecal-type flora (different species) and *Bacillus* spp.

Ophthalmology specimens
Coagulase negative staphylococci and *Bacillus* spp. recovered from vitreous humour

Gram-stain of staph

*Bacillus* spp. on blood agar
Two months later: Resurgence of outbreak with two cases of endophthalmitis

Outbreak committee reconvened, with medical director and hospital managers

Theatre staff volunteered concerns over damp and/or stained packs of surgical instruments returning from sterile services provider

Staining was usually orange/brown in colour

We decided to audit damp and/or discoloured packs;

Packs were selected for microbiological examination.

Surgical instruments used for high risk surgery (eyes, orthopaedics and vascular) were sent to another sterile services provider on a temporary basis.
**Microbiological examination of surgical sets**

Level 1 laminar flow cabinet with Hepa-A filtration in a Category 3 area is disinfected and allowed to run for 30 mins before processing;

Control settle plates positioned within the cabinet

Pack and media bottles cleaned with disinfectant (Trigene) before placing in the cabinet;

Gloved operator removes outer layer of pack;

Sterile gloves replace disposable gloves using aseptic technique

*Adapted from Widmer et al, J Hosp Infect 1992; Webster et al, AmJIC 2005*
**Microbiological sampling of surgical sets**

Inner wrapping sampled with moistened sterile swab and broth inoculated;

Wrappings folded back to sample inner box or tray: swabs inoculated into broth;

Instruments sampled on untouched areas only: swabs inoculated into broth

Additional swab inoculated into broth to act as process and sterility control

Settle plates incubated aerobically for 48 hours;
Broths incubated for 5-7 days and sub-cultured if cloudy;
Terminal subcultures performed on clear broths at 7 days

*Results discarded if any growth occurred on settle plates*

*Adapted from Widmer et al, J Hosp Infect 1992; Webster et al, AmJIC 2005*
### Visual and microbiological findings from ‘sterile’ surgical packs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pack type</th>
<th>Visual appearance</th>
<th>Culture wrappings</th>
<th>Culture instruments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmic set</td>
<td>Damp; discoloured</td>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystoscopy set</td>
<td>Damp</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Bacillus spp.&amp; CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysteroscope</td>
<td>Damp; discoloured</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Bacillus spp.&amp; CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxidriver set</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Bacillus spp.&amp; CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxidriver set</td>
<td>Damp</td>
<td>Bacillus spp.</td>
<td>Bacillus spp.&amp; CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medullary set</td>
<td>Damp</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Bacillus spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPS set</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Bacillus sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phacoemulsifier</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strabismus set</td>
<td>Discoloured</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Bacillus spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phacoemulsifier</td>
<td>Damp; discoloured</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Bacillus spp.&amp; CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasciotomy set</td>
<td>Slightly discoloured</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Bacillus spp.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Microbiological results from all 20 patients

Twelve specimens grew CNS, three of which also had faecal-type flora
One specimen grew CNS and *Bacillus* sp.
One specimen grew *Bacillus* sp.
Four specimens grew faecal-type flora only
One specimen grew methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*

*There were no microbiological results for one patient*

*All patients were treated with vancomycin and gentamicin*

Six patients had aspirates/tissue sent from subsequent surgical revision:
Three specimens grew CNS alone
One grew *Bacillus* sp.
There was no growth from specimens from two patients
Further actions following microbiological findings

Immediate site visit to the sterile services provider!

We found:

- drab and dusty autoclave area, with evidence of poorly maintained fabric;
- no wash hand basin facilities within the autoclave area;
- no evidence of baffle plates and/or functional indicators in the autoclaves;
- sterilised packages were stored on, or just off, the floor;
- lack of assurance for adequate drying/cooling of packages;
- metal gurneys used to transfer sterilised sets between autoclave and transfer cart were corroded with rust.
Actions agreed by sterile services provider and hospital managers

Sterile services:
Staff training review
Hand hygiene practices
Electronic tracking
Incident reporting process

Hospital:
Monitoring of sterile packs on receipt from provider
Computer based notification of faulty; missing; damaged & wet packs
Surgical site infection surveillance for high-risk specialties

BOTH:
Creation of a governance committee to oversee sterile services
Regular (quarterly meetings)
Review fault notifications and trends
Review new kit; fast track service and overall turnaround times
Actions discussed and agreed
What is the situation now?

The Healthboard Sterile Services Governance Committee continues to meet regularly in order to enhance communication between sterile services, managers and NHS staff.

We review all aspects of procurement, cleaning, sterilisation and repair of surgical instruments used across the Healthboard. In addition, all sterile sets are routinely inspected by a senior theatre nurse on delivery at the theatre complex.

Surgical site infection rates are routinely monitored and have remained within the expected range for Scotland over the last four years.
Why were there no cases among vascular graft patients?

Surgical prophylaxis for orthopaedics

CEFUROXIME

Surgical prophylaxis for cataract surgery

CEFUROXIME

Surgical prophylaxis for vascular surgery

VANCOMYCIN
Conclusion

This presentation has highlighted the importance of instrument processing by sterile service facilities.

Surgical sets should always be carefully inspected on reception. There should be continued close collaboration between decontamination staff, theatre staff and infection control, particularly if sterile services are located off-site.

Faulty processing of reusable surgical instruments results in serious clinical implications for patients.
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WHY CATS ARE NOT DOCTORS

Doctor loses medical license after licking self, instruments clean.